

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					•
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,572	AFFEICATION NO.		Angela Speith-Herfurth	6001-0110	5054
,	7500	10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
THOMAS T. MOGA DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC				TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1901 L STREET NW, SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				1773	12
				DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/762,572	SPEITH-HERFURTH ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the control of the period for reply expire later the control of the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance on the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance on the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance on the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance on the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires o	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	e of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external of the period of external of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of t d statutory period for reply originally set	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	ı (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>3</b> :	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		1
10. Other:		
· <u>-</u>		

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773

Application No.

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/762,572.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicants have added a new limitation to the claims which specifically recites that the interlayer is formed from an isotacticy propylene homopolymer. This limitation would require further consideration.

The examiner wishes to make US 20020071960A1 of record. The published patent application teaches both wax materals in the inner layer (1-3%); [0079] and hydrocarbon wax in the base layer [0034-0035] and describes the same sort of BZD layered structure claimed. The examiner suggests that the applicants refile the application with the wax amount limited to 5 to 40% (claim 2) along with the limitations proposed in the instant amendment.

D. LAWRENCE TARAZARU PRIMARY EXAMINER